UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE                                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/796,299                              | 03/09/2004  | Jefferson G. Shingleton | PWRL 1029-3          | 9107             |  |  |
|   | 74329 7590 06/15/2009<br>SunPower Corporation c/o |                         |                      | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| Haynes Beffel &                         |   |                         | BERDICHEVSKY, MIRIAM |                  |  |  |
| P.O. Box 366<br>Half Moon Bay, CA 94019 |   |                         | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| ·                                       |   |                         | 1795                 |                  |  |  |
|   |   |                         |                      |                  |  |  |
|   |   |                         | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |  |  |
|   |   |                         | 06/15/2009           | PAPER            |  |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/796,299      | SHINGLETON ET AL. |  |
|                 |                   |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |

|  | MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY  | 1795   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add                                   | ress                  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>). | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)   | TE below);<br>ducing or simplifying tl   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co owable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendmer                                | nt canceling the      |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-15 and 31-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.   |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Applicant's arguments are moot because they pertain to the amendments which require further search and consideration.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| /Alexa D. Neckel/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795  | /M. B./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1795   | i  |                       |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments alter the scope of the claims requiring further search and consideration.